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A Typology

Cobb’s typology of interfaith relationships:

1) **Exclusivism**: validates or biases one tradition only
2) **Inclusivism**: approximates others to one as norm
3) **Pluralism**: validates ‘all’ traditions comparably
4) **Transformationism**: correlates other traditions as—
   - valuable resources for transforming one’s own in the direction of its own ideals, thereby rendering them
   - capable of integrating needed aspects of others without abandoning each one’s own identity and norms.


The weaknesses of each type may also be noted, as follows:

1) Exclusivism rejects and forfeits the diversity of other self/world realities; their being, their value, their promise;

2) Inclusivism reduces the truth and reality of other traditions to aspects of its own, more privileged teachings, beliefs, and practices;

3) Pluralism risks runaway relativism in which anything is true or valid from any viewpoint, thus denying or subverting the (inequitable) uniqueness claims of many traditions—'Our’s is not an equally viable path to the same mountaintop but leads to the top of a different mountain!'

4) Transformationism privileges or advantages some traditions over others by their (formal or intrinsic) aptitude for incorporating aspects of others—for example in this schema Islam may appear to be disadvantaged or disesteemed in this regard vis-à-vis the other world religions.
1. Karl Jaspers’ Hypothesis of an "Axial Age"  

The era 600-400 BCE was called “axial” by German philosopher Karl Jaspers. Radiating out from 600-400 BCE and extending more gradually from 800 to 200 BCE, key religious traditions in multiple parts of the world developed more enlightened, benign, and pro-human versions of their originating beliefs and traditions. Here I extend Jaspers hypothesis to argue that two and a half millennia ago our species embarked on conceptually and incipiently nonviolent approaches to human relationships and interactions; a legacy we endeavor to complete in our time.

Synopsis [source cited below]: “In his influential work, *Origin and Goal of History* (1953; *Vom Ursprung und Zeit der Geschichte*, 1949) Jaspers attempted a universal or global history that located at its center or ‘axis’ the fundamental conceptual developments that undergird the world’s formative religions and civilizations.

The most extraordinary events are concentrated in this period. Confucius and Lao-tse were living in China, all the schools of Chinese philosophy came into being, including those of Mo-ti, Chuang-tse, Lieh-tsu and a host of others; India produced the Upanishads and Buddha and, like China, ran the whole gamut of philosophical possibilities down to skepticism, to materialism, sophism and nihilism; in Iran Zarathustra taught a challenging view of the world as a struggle between good and evil; in Palestine the prophets made their appearance, from Elijah, by way of Isaiah and . . .
‘Axial Age’ Proposal

- By hypothesis: every religious and humanist tradition conveys distinctive resources for nonviolence.
- However, none has demonstrated sufficient proficiency to protect adherents from practicing sacred violence.
- Thus a heuristic (seek-&-find) strategy is called for: each needs other(s’) resources to supplement its own.

[Note 1(b)]

... Jeremiah to Deutero-Isaiah. Greece witnessed the appearance of Homer, of the Philosophers—Parmenides, Heraclitus and Plato—of the tragedians, Thucydides and Archimedes. Everything implied by these names developed during these few centuries almost simultaneously in China, India, and the West, without any one of these regions knowing of the others.” Karl Jaspers, Origin and Goal of History (London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1953), p. 2.

A synchronicity of the developments highlighted by Jaspers is evident in the following schema:

Axial Age Highlights 800-400 BCE

Israel: Isaiah (770-700), the "Age of the Prophets" (650-500)

Iran (Persia): Zoroaster / Zarathustra (ca. 600)

India: Buddha (563-483), Jainism (6th century), Upanishad texts ca. 550

China: Lao-tse (605-530), Confucius (551-479)

Greece: Thales (d. ca. 546), Pythagoras (d. ca. 507), Socrates (469-399), Plato (427-347), Aristotle (384-322)


2. See also my “Axial Age Axioms” in Appendix C below.
Indigenous Religions I

Primal traditions of expertise in *pharmacopoeia* of—

- the *pharmakos*: scapegoat, sacrificial victim, ritual target;
- the *pharmakon*: potion; medicine/poison; ritual prescription;
- the *pharmakeus*: sorcerer, wizard, magician, ritual expert;
- the *pharmacosm*: the world as ‘ritual cosmos:’ store-house/lab/workshop of pharmacopoeic and ritual transformations (cf. Appendix: RTJ)


Indigenous Religions II

1. “Engaging in indigenous or religious rituals may be more valuable in promoting reconciliation than victims voicing their traumas, or perpetrators making confession.”

2. “Indigenous rituals and cosmologies, once outlawed by the institutions of settler peoples, offer resources for healing & reconciliation between members of indigenous communities and descendants of settlers.”

Cf. indigenous cultures, world religions, & rituals of reconciliation in Abu-Nimer, Gopin, Hayner, et al. in n.3-6 below.


5. Also Priscilla Hayner, in her magisterial treatment of truth commissions in *Unspeakable Truths: Facing the Challenge of Truth Commissions* (Routledge, 2002), describes Mozambique’s alternative to truth and reconciliation commissions in the form of “traditional healing mechanisms that remain deeply rooted throughout the country . . . traditional healers to help repair their wounds . . . [and] ‘Neotraditional healing mechanisms’ . . . [that] have played a powerful role in reintegrating soldiers into their communities.” (pp. 192-193).
1. Cf. this excerpt from a *sutra* that deconstructs the self/other dualism operating is all acts of violence or “harm.”

   To do harm to others is to do harm to oneself.
   “You are the one whom you intend to kill!
   You are the one you intend to tyrannize over!”
   We corrupt ourselves as soon as we intend to corrupt others.
   We kill ourselves as soon as we intend to kill others.
   --Acaranga Sutra 1.5.5


2. Related sources:
   http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C4%80tman_(Hinduism) and
Buddhism

- **Enlightenment:** the ‘middle way’ need not wholly sacrifice one’s embodied humanity /relationships to attain liberation from suffering and illusion
- **Aware Compassion:** "However innumerable all beings are, I vow to save them all."
- **Bodhisattva:** It is salutary to defer ultimate enlightenment/prefer the liberation of others (cf. socially engaged Buddhism re: Appendix: RTJ)


Cf. Jewish Renewal: “Jewish Renewal is a new movement within Judaism. It is a kind of neo-Hasidism, in that it seeks the spiritual renewal of Judaism, but ‘neo’ because it insists on full equality for women and a creative return to the process of transforming Hallakhah (Jewish law) so that it continues to be a living path to connection to God.

“The philosophy of Jewish Renewal is articulated best in the theological writings of Abraham Joshua Heschel (see particularly The Prophets and God In Search of Man and Man Is Not Alone) and Zalman Schachter Shalomi (Paradigm Shift), Arthur Waskow (Down To Earth Judaism, GodWrestling) and Michael Lerner (Jewish Renewal: A Path to Healing and Transformation).

“Judaism is a distinctive blending of spirituality and a liberatory political vision. But when Judaism abandoned its liberatory message, the Judaism that survived was unable to command the respect and adherence of many Jews. Those who sought spiritual vitality often found themselves attracted to other traditions. Others became disillusioned with all forms of spirituality, assuming that it would necessarily be associated with patriarchal and repressive social realities.

“So Jewish Renewal seeks a revolutionary transformation of the world . . .” Accessed by this author on 7/5/2010
www.tikkun.org/article.php/renewal
Islam I

Once after battle Muhammad said, "We have returned from the lesser jihad (al-jihad al-asghar) to the greater jihad (al-jihad al-akbar)."

When asked, "What is the greater jihad?", he replied, "It is the struggle against oneself."

- **Jihad:** the *struggle* for total allegiance to the will of Allah (cf. “Abandonment to Divine Providence”)
- **Greater jihad:** *struggle* waged within oneself to abandon wholly to the will of Allah


   Warfare is only one interpretation of the concept of jihad. The root meaning of “effort” never disappeared. Jihad may be an inward struggle (directed against evil in oneself) or an outward one (against injustice). A *hadith* defines this understanding of the term. It recounts how Muhammad, after a battle, said, “We have returned from the lesser jihad (al-jihad al-asghar) to the greater jihad (al-jihad al-akbar).” When asked, “What is the greater jihad?”, he replied, “It is the struggle against oneself.” Although this *hadith* does not appear in any of the authoritative collections, it has had enormous influence in Islamic mysticism (Sufism).

Islam II

“[All internet sources on this page accessed by author 8/14/2010.] "I am going to give you such a weapon . . . the weapon of the Prophet . . . [that] no power on earth can stand against it.”

“[Our nonviolence] is not a new creed. It was followed 1400 years ago by the Prophet all the time he was in Mecca.” -Khan Ghaffar Khan

“The Medina message is not the fundamental, universal, eternal message of Islam. That founding message is from Mecca . . . [and] will result in the total conciliation between Islamic law and the modern development of human rights and civil liberties.”

1. Given the title, “Frontier Gandhi” for organizing ‘history’s first nonviolent army’ of 100,000 Pathans in Pakistan’s notorious Northwest Frontier Province.

1. “In the concrete circumstances of humanity, what the new unity of humanity looks like is the beginnings of the gathering of penitent persecutors around the body of the self-giving victim [Christ], whose forgiveness made their new perception possible, and the creating of acts of worship of the victim, both in celebration and in acts of fraternal service. James Alison, “The Joy of Being Wrong,” unpub. Dissertation [chk citation]

2. “That is to say, as humans come to perceive the reality of God as victim and of humans as victimizers (which can only be a practical intelligence of my complicity in the structures of violence which form me and which I pass on), so we are impelled to the construction of a different form of social other, one built from the self-giving victim, rather than one built by exclusion of the victim.” James Alison, Joy of Being Wrong: Original Sin through Easter Eyes (NY: Crossroad, 1998), p. 84.
Christianity

• Renunciation of scapegoating is Jesus’ ‘way, truth, and life;’ i.e. non-victimizing love neither scapegoats-out (targets others) nor scapegoats-in (targets self; cf. Humanism below)

• Gospel (Gk. kerygma) “good news;” cross-&-resurrection proclaim: ‘no more victims!’

• ‘We found it!’ (cf. eureka! / heuristic): the way to “beloved community” (cf. M.L. King) is perpetual atonement/reconciliation
  (cf. Royce & New Testament, n.3 below and Appendix: RTJ)

[Notes cont’d] 3. “The only resolution of this dilemma is found in *experiencing* . . . myself . . . as victim behind my victimizing . . . recognizing ourselves as victimizing victims in our day-to-day living . . . whence we punish the other . . . “Emphatically to get past the person who is victimizing one to the victim within is the essence of the Christ life, into which Gandhi had much insight.” Sebastian Moore, “’Why Did God Kill Jesus?’” *The Downside Review* 112:386 (January 1994):24-25.

4. Cf. New Testament, 2 Corinthians 5.19: “In Christ God was reconciling the world to himself, not counting their trespasses against them, and entrusting the message of reconciliation to us.”

The trajectory of this key scripture on reconciliation converges with American philosopher, Josiah Royce’s, articulation of perpetual atonement:

“No baseness or cruelty of treason so deep or so tragic shall enter our human world, but that loyal love shall be able in due time to oppose to just that deed of treason its fitting deed of atonement.”

“The world, as transformed by this creative deed, is better than it would have been had all else remained the same, but had that deed of treason not been done at all.” Josiah Royce, *Problem of Christianity* (Catholic U. of America, 2001 [1913]) p.186. Also in Parker, Kelly A., “Josiah Royce”, *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Fall 2004), E.N.Zalta (ed.), accessed 9/15/2009 at [http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2004/entries/royce/](http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2004/entries/royce/)

Also at this site:

“How shall the humans of the future live? Without distress, in love and zest and peace? Of course they will, but mystical good-will Is not enough. We have a lot to learn Of practical details. We must divide The work between us in rewarding ways, Allow, encourage growth in everyone, Yet know the wishful fancy from the fact Not won to yet. No one shall be oppressed Nor be imposed on, yet shall all contribute, And workability shall bring accomplishment. The techniques of community we fashion May guide the great Community to come.”

—Harvey Jackins
Secular Humanism II

ethic against targeting-out

“We are completely powerful, lovable, admirable, intelligent, capable. We are in charge. Societies are transitory and always collapse of their own contradictions . . . Life is filled with meaning . . . We are the leading edge of the upward trend in the universe.

“We are free, each moment, to begin a completely new future, untrammeled and uninfluenced by any of the distresses of the past . . . The future, arriving at the present, presents us with an endless series of such fresh opportunities to make completely fresh starts on completely rational futures.” (Cf. similar humanist manifestos; and Appendix: RTJ)


Again, see Katie Kauffman, Caroline New, Co-Counselling: The Theory and Practice of Re-Evaluation Counselling (Series on Advancing Theory in Therapy; Routledge, 2004).
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Eucharistic Non-Targeting
‘Sacramental’ Workshops

5 Step Practicum

1. Eucharist or Holy Communion provides a sacramental point of departure for this practicum.

On the convergence here of religious and cultural practices cf. Paul Tillich: “Religion as ultimate concern is the meaning-giving substance of culture, and culture is the totality of forms in which the basic concern of religion expresses itself. In abbreviation: religion is the substance of culture, culture is the form of religion. [This] prevents . . . a dualism of religion and culture. Every religious act, not only in organized religion, but also in the most intimate movement of the soul, is culturally formed. . . . On the other hand, there is no cultural creation without an ultimate concern expressed in it.” Paul Tillich, Theology of Culture (NY: Oxford Univ. Press, 1959), pp. 42-43.

Contrasted here are ‘eucharistic circles’ vs. ‘scapegoat circles.’ The defining practice of Christians is the formation of such eucharistic circles (not necessarily in liturgies but) everywhere, counteracting all the everyday and routine ways we target each other for victimization. Cf. again: “the new unity of humanity looks like . . . the beginnings of the gathering of penitent persecutors around the body of the self-giving victim” (Alison, unpub. Diss. [chk])


2. On the convergence here of religious and cultural practices cf. Paul Tillich: “Religion as ultimate concern is the meaning-giving substance of culture, and culture is the totality of forms in which the basic concern of religion expresses itself. In abbreviation: religion is the substance of culture, culture is the form of religion. [This] prevents . . . a dualism of religion and culture. Every religious act, not only in organized religion, but also in the most intimate movement of the soul, is culturally formed. . . . On the other hand, there is no cultural creation without an ultimate concern expressed in it.” Paul Tillich, Theology of Culture (NY: Oxford Univ. Press, 1959), pp. 42-43.

3. Contrasted here are ‘eucharistic circles’ vs. ‘scapegoat circles.’ The defining practice of Christians is the formation of such eucharistic circles (not necessarily in liturgies but) everywhere, counteracting all the everyday and routine ways we target each other for victimization. Cf. again: “the new unity of humanity looks like . . . the beginnings of the gathering of penitent persecutors around the body of the self-giving victim” (Alison, unpub. Diss. [chk])
Eucharistic Non-Targeting
‘Sacramental’ Workshops

5 Step Practicum

- Eucharist or Holy Communion provides a sacramental point of departure for this practicum.
- These sacramental practices are trajectories or models—not limited to ritual or liturgy, nor to Christian or other religious contexts, but

[Note 3(b)] The transformative power of eucharistic practices (again, not limited to the sacrament) delivers us from being actors in the one kind of ritual circle, and reorients us toward becoming enactors of this new kind of ritual circle. Thus humanity is renewed wherever people are saved from, rather than handed over to, being victims and victimizers of each other.

4. A sacramental warrant for such practices, and this practicum, is provided by Catholic theologian Emmanuel Charles McCarthy in his monograph, “The Nonviolent Eucharist.”

It is possible today, as it has been possible for 1700 years, for a normal person to spend a lifetime listening to the Eucharistic Prayers of all of the mainline Christian churches and never apprehend that what is being remembered is a Person—who rejected violence, forgave everyone, prayed for persecutors, returned good for evil.

. . . In most Christian churches, the anamnesis has become an agency for amnesia . . . Yet this is precisely what most Christian churches have been doing in their Eucharistic Prayer since Constantine first employed the cross as an ensign to lead people into the enmity and homicide called war.

In this connection McCarthy issues a call for churches to . . .
Eucharistic Non-Targeting
‘Sacramental’ Workshops

5 Step Practicum

- Eucharist or Holy Communion provides a sacramental point of departure for this practicum.⁴
- These sacramental practices are trajectories or models—not limited to ritual or liturgy, nor to Christian or other religious contexts, but
- seeking (cf. heuristic) application across all traditions, outside religious contexts, and throughout culture. (Cf. Tillich on “ultimate concern” in religion & culture: n.2 plus notes 3-5 below.)

[Note 4(b)]

“. . . “compose new eucharistic prayers which vividly call to mind the New Commandment, and the actual details of the historic confrontation between homicidal violence and Jesus’ nonviolent love of friends and enemies that took place at the moment being remembered. This is not one among many things the churches can do for peace and unity—it is what they must do. The present meagerness of scriptural and historical memory, while it does not render the Eucharistic Prayers false, does make them operationally deceptive by omission. Source: The Rev. Emmanuel Charles McCarthy, “The Nonviolent Eucharist (Ctr for Christian Nonviolence: 167 Fairhill, Wilmington, DE 19808; Phone: 302.235.2925; fax: 302-235-2926; jjcarmody@comcast.net; n.d.), p. 5-6. See also www.centerforchristiannonviolence.org/the-nonviolent-eucharist accessed by this author on 7/30/2010.

5. Consider as well this related critique:

Around the end of the first millennium of Christianity, in response to an increasingly diverse membership and in phase with the development of scientific explanations, there grew a set of eucharistic devotions and an attendant rationale . . . [for] the development of eucharistic theology and practice quite separable from the Mass itself. . . . For many centuries and . . .
Eucharistic Non-Targeting ‘Sacramental’ Workshops
5 Step Practicum

Preview queries:
1. What would a similar or entirely different practicum look like based on other religions /humanisms?
2. How would similar or different practices expose and treat the sacred lies*/sacred violence involved? *slide 6, n.2


Cf. these related, trenchant criticisms by Gittins:
The memorial (anamnesis) of Christ's sacrifice (a gift, a meal, and a lesson about love and loyalty, justice and joyousness), the eucharistic feast has become for many a famine and a scandal. The preeminent sign of nourishment and unity for Christians and to the world, the eucharist is currently the focus of embarrassment, division, and dissension as much as it is a beacon of light and a standard-bearer of justice . . . The eucharistic life of myriad communities is a scandal, and it is pastorally indefensible to deprive such communities of honest celebrations of the Paschal mystery . . . Somehow the kernel or the core--the deep structures--of the eucharist and the faith must be rediscovered and transformed into living and life-giving liturgies and lifestyles in a thousand cultures and ten thousand communities“ (p. 26ff.).
1. In New Testament teachings the biblical warrant for such practices (and for this practicum) include Jesus’ injunctions to:

- rejecting violence? ‘put back your sword’ (Mt. 26.52)
- forgiving enemies/enmity? ‘pray for your persecutors’ (Mt. 5.44)
- returning good for evil?

  ‘don’t resist an evildoer but turn the other cheek, give your other coat, go the 2nd mile’ (Mt.5.39); don’t repay evil with evil (St. Paul: Rom.12.17). Cf. note 4 below re: Gandhi/Tutu/Bonhoeffer caveats on nonviolent resistance.

2. The example of Amish forgiveness story is described in detail and excerpted below by this author on 7/31/2010 from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amish_school_shooting. Bart Township, Lancaster Co., Pennsylvania; October 2, 2006

[Excerpt] Amish community response

On the day of the shooting, a grandfather of one of the murdered Amish girls was heard warning some young relatives not to hate the killer, saying, "We must not think evil of this man." Another Amish father noted, "He had a mother and a wife and a soul and now he's standing before a just God."

Jack Meyer, a member of the Brethren community living near the Amish in Lancaster County, explained: "I don't think there's anybody here that wants to do anything but forgive and not only reach out to those who have suffered a loss in that way but to reach out to the family of the man who committed these acts."
[Note 2(b)] A Roberts family spokesman said an Amish neighbor comforted the Roberts family hours after the shooting and extended forgiveness to them. Amish community members visited and comforted Roberts’ widow, parents, and parents-in-law. One Amish man held Roberts’ sobbing father in his arms, reportedly for as long as an hour, to comfort him. The Amish have also set up a charitable fund for the family of the shooter. About 30 members of the Amish community attended Roberts’ funeral, and Marie Roberts, the widow of the killer, was one of the few outsiders invited to the funeral of one of the victims. Marie Roberts wrote an open letter to her Amish neighbors thanking them for their forgiveness, grace, and mercy. She wrote, “Your love for our family has helped to provide the healing we so desperately need. Gifts you've given have touched our hearts in a way no words can describe. Your compassion has reached beyond our family, beyond our community, and is changing our world, and for this we sincerely thank you.”

. . . Some commentators criticized the swift and complete forgiveness with which the Amish responded, arguing that forgiveness is inappropriate when no remorse has been expressed, and that such an attitude runs the risk of denying the existence of evil . . . scholars of Amish life noted that “letting go of grudges” is a deeply-rooted value in Amish culture, which remembers forgiving martyrs including Dirk Willems [noted below] and Jesus himself. They explained that the Amish willingness to forgo vengeance does not undo the tragedy or pardon the wrong, but rather constitutes a first step toward a future that is more hopeful.

3. Invoked above, the Dirk Willems model (cf. mimesis) is perhaps second only to “Jesus himself” for the Amish, Brethren, Mennonite, and other spiritual descendents of the ‘radical reformation’ who adhere still today to the Anabaptist legacy. “Dirk Willems (died 16 May 1569) was a martyred Anabaptist who is most famous for, after his escape from prison, turning around to rescue his pursuer, who had fallen through thin ice while chasing him” (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dirk_Willems accessed by author 8/2/2010).
Shirley Sherrod was the African American director for rural development in Georgia under the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). A charge of racism against her—or reverse racism—was based on a 2 minute clip of her 40 minute speech to the NAACP in March of this year (2010). In the clip she seemed to be boasting that she could have helped a white farmer save his farm, but that all she did was refer him to a white lawyer instead, particularly because the farmer spoke to her with a superior attitude.

However as the week unfolded the full speech was viewed by hundreds of people all over the country. (For an initial timeline of events see http://mediamatters.org/research/201007220004 accessed by this author on 7/23/2010.) Then it became clear that the 2 minute clip was taken out of context. In the full version of her speech Ms. Sherrod acknowledges some bias against whites because, tragically, during her childhood her father had been murdered by a white farmer in a hate crime for which he was never punished.

But then, remarkably, she goes on confess before her audience that it became clear to her that her prejudice was not acceptable; not professionally, morally or spiritually. As a public official she admits that it was wrong of her to under-serve the white farmer and that, as a person of faith, God revealed this to her through subsequent events. In subsequent events she discovered that the white lawyer was failing to provide the legal aid that the farmer needed. So she felt obligated to intervene and insure that the family got to keep their farm. For that intervention she earned the enduring gratitude of the farmer, Roger Spooner, and the farmer’s wife, 82 year-old Eloise Spooner who told the Atlanta Journal-Constitution that in fact Sherrod did save their farm and “kept us out of bankruptcy.” (Read more at: www.newser.com/story/96019/farmers-wife-fired-usda-official-saved-us.html#ixzz0uVn6wuze accessed by author 7/23/2010.)
[Note cont’d.] By the end of the week numerous apologies had been offered to Shirley Sherrod, from media reporters, to the NAACP, and even from President Obama in a carefully arranged phone call. Indeed the Secretary of the Department of Agriculture offered to reinstate her, albeit in a different job. And other commentators have noted that having so many people view the full video of Sherrod’s speech is a good outcome of the story.

In that connection columnist Peggy Noonan, writing in Friday’s Wall Street Journal, described Sherrod’s story as demonstrating “the power of grace and the possibility of redemption.” Noonan even suggests that the video be assigned as “required viewing in the nation's high schools" when students return to campus this fall.

The rest of us can also learn from Sherrod’s story, she observed; for example that "we're too quick to judge" and we're "not skeptical enough of what new media [sic.] can cook up in its little devil's den." But Noonan seems especially eager to reach our nation’s youth, perhaps in part because the video itself features Sherrod appealing to young people to go beyond the racism of their elders. More substantively, however, Noonan calls this story a lesson in ‘grace and redemption’ because it teaches all of us that "Individuals can change,” she writes, “just like nations. They can get better, if they want to be . . . [This] can be a teachable moment,” she concludes. (Read more at: http://www.newser.com/story/96300/lets-teach-scherrods-speech-in-schools.html#ixzz0uW13jYNo and at: http://online.wsj.com/article/SB1000142405274870346730457538731552735178.html?mod=WSJ_Opinion_LEADTop#printMode accessed by this author on 7/23/2010.) For more recent updates see www.newser.com/taggrid/53924/shirley-sherrod.html
See the Shirley Sherrod story outlined in the preceding slide.
A key feature of this practicum is its inducement of “time expansion,” a term coined by psychologist Vamik Volkan to contrast with its converse, “time collapse.” For Volkan, time collapse is a psycho-social “reactivation” in which memories, stories, feelings, and perceptions about a past event become intertwined with actions and emotions pertaining to the current conflict. This exaggerates the images of the current enemy and blurs the distinction between what is real and what is fantasized in the current conflict.

In turn, maladaptive group behavior and irrational decision-making can emerge, and the potential for violence and desire for revenge or retaliation against the enemy, both real and fantasized, increase.

The passage above is available via Coexist International Magazine at: www.coexistmag.com/2001/p103-08d.html, accessed by the author on 6/23/05.

The term is also lucidly introduced in Bloodlines: From Ethnic Pride to Ethnic Terrorism (New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 1997, pp. 34-35. In this excerpt the author applies his theory of “time collapse” to explain the dynamics involved in a series of Arab-Israel meetings sponsored and studied by the American Psychiatric Association during six-years of dialogues.

Elsewhere Volkan elaborates further:

When chosen traumas and their derivatives are reactivated, the emotions and perceptions pertaining to them are felt as if the trauma occurred recently—they become fused with emotions and perceptions pertaining to the present and are even projected into the future. What is remembered from the past, felt now, and expected for the future come together in a time collapse. Understandably, this time collapse complicates attempts to resolve the conflicts at hand. To counteract this phenomenon . . .
Question 4

How does Q. 2 relate to Q. 3? That is, what is similar between
— you or your group
  targeting or neglecting others (Q.2), and
— your own / your group’s
  experience of being targeted or abandoned (Q.3)?

Example 4: Shirley Sherrod’s feelings of ‘terror and rage’ following her father’s murder by a white farmer relate to her initial treatment of farmer Roger Spooner as her client.

Response: Share insights and optionally respond to others’ comments & queries.

[Note 1(b)] “... and to encourage a time expansion, facilitators must allow discussions to take place concerning the chosen trauma itself and participants’ personal traumas pertaining to the large-group conflict. If feelings and issues about the past can be distanced and separated from present problems, then today’s problems can be more realistically discussed.”

Vamik Volkan, “The tree model: a comprehensive psychopolitical approach to unofficial diplomacy and the reduction of ethnic tension,” Mind and Human Interaction (1999) 10: 142-206. ‘To cite particular passages or pages, please contact us for a hard copy of the orig. published version.’ (email: mind@virginia.edu, phone: 434-924-2844). The passage is available online at various sites including the location accessed by the author on 8/3/2010 at www.healthsystem.virginia.edu/internet/csmhi/vol103Volkan.cfm

1. In *eucharist* (Mass, Holy Communion, or Lord’s Supper; from Greek *eu-charis*, good grace = thanks-giving) we re-experience the hilarity of the apostles on those first occasions of table fellowship with the risen Jesus, when they realized that he was forgiving them for betraying him to his death (thank goodness!). [Source cited below]

Before even the risen Jesus can be preached to the city which has killed him, he must return to those closest to him (those whose task it will be to preach his good news) and show them *their* part in his death. . . . They must learn the truth of their collusion with the violence which destroyed Jesus, learn that before they can preach to others they must themselves repent and turn--acknowledge their identity of failure before they can again be apostles, missionaries. They must experience the juxtaposition of God’s unconditional grace in the face of their own violations of God, self and others.

This juxtaposition is built into every Christian celebration of the Eucharist. The narrative of the institution is introduced with a reminder that the sacrament of Jesus self-gift originates in the same night that he was betrayed. Those who eat at Jesus table are his betrayers, then as now; yet from the death and hell to which our betrayal condemns him, he returns to break his bread with us as before. The Eucharist is never a simple fellowship meal, not even . . . with Jesus. Its imagery always and necessarily operates between the two poles of Maundy Thursday and Easter Sunday, between Gethsemane and Emmaus, between the Upper Room before the crucifixion and the Upper Room to which the risen Jesus comes. All meals with Jesus after Calvary speak of the *restoration* of a fellowship broken by human infidelity: the wounded body and the shed blood are inescapably present.
How would you re-play or role play item 2 ‘as if’ item 3 were addressed /resolved /healed?

- handle item 2 differently?
- respond without ‘acting-out’ item 3?
- What would enable (or hinder) ‘table fellowship’?

[Note 1(b)] “We do not eucharistically remember a distant meal in Jerusalem, nor even a distant death: we are made present to ourselves [cf. remember = make present; Greek: anamnesis vs. ‘amnesia’] as people complicit in the betrayal and death of Jesus and yet still called and accepted, still companions of Christ in the strict sense--those who break bread with him. The Eucharist recapitulates the Supper, the betrayal and the cross, but it does so as an Easter feast.” Rowan Williams, Resurrection: Interpreting the Easter Gospel (Pilgrim, 1984), pp. 38; 40-41

2. Similarly in this workshop we reclaim that joy of apostolic restoration with reference to those whom we (our society or group) abandon or betray in our own day. By-passing denial or blame, the five-step practicum invites participants to review some of the specific ways that we support policies or practices that victimize or violate others.

3. In this self-examination, however, complicity is transfigured beyond guilt or shame, echoing the apostolic euphoria experienced at those 1st century eucharistic tables. (Cf. James Alison, The Joy of Being Wrong: Original Sin through Easter Eyes). Indeed at that table we are empowered to intervene on behalf of victims by becoming ourselves, like Christ (Christ-like), ‘broken bread (nourishment) and poured-out wine (euphoria) for the life of the world.’

4. On ‘acting-out’ and ‘repetition trauma’ see note 2 in slide 37 above, re: Alice Miller on “repetition compulsion” etc.
Eucharistic Non-Targeting

‘Sacramental’ Workshops

Personal Examples

- **Example 1**: I was taught to value my people often ‘returning good for evil’ despite being targeted by slavery and racism.
- **Example 2**: As teenagers my brother & I, racially threatened by a white construction worker, shot him in the head with a BB rifle.
- **Example 3**: As a younger boy I had a golf club swung at my head by two white men driving by in a pickup truck.
- **Example 4**: My terror and rage at being nearly hit in the head during a racist attack relates to my willingness to risk injuring someone else. Since then I’ve been mortified by what we did.
- **Example 5**: I imagine bringing the construction worker a glass of cold water and trying to share with him how he frightened us.

Personal Example No. 2  At a related workshop I was also asked to provide a personal example; similar to Question 2 in this 5 Step Practicum: *Recall an early time in your life when you yourself were the target of some mistreatment or violence. Express any indignation or anger, grief or hurt (as much feeling as circumstances allow).* My response was a more elaborate depiction of Example 2 offered above.

As an African American I grew up in the deep South and learned by direct experience that I had to 'watch my back' at all times. One day, at the age of ten, while riding my bike on a major thoroughfare two white men drove up behind me in a pickup truck. One of them leaned out of the window, yelled out the N-word, "You Nig!" and swung at my head with a golf club. He actually missed hitting me but I can still feel the anticipated impact on the back part of my head where the club would have hurt me. And even though I was not actually hit I can still feel the anticipated impact on the back part of my head where the club would have hurt me. And even though I was not actually hit I can always tell that story as if I had been, because ever since then I am always on guard in public places and watching around me to see if I am safe. . . . If I could speak-out now from the perspective of that young boy I would rage against the vicious attack of those men who contaminated my world that day, and taught me as a young man to be terrified for myself in the wider world. "How dare you poison the world for a young person like that! Don't you know we're your hope for a better world?"

The process and skills that this practicum presents are applicable to larger scale issues and multiple cases, including international and geopolitical contexts. Consider these examples from the work of Vamik Volkan. Both cases feature Volkan’s theory of violence rooted in the phenomena of “time collapse.” Volkan has addressed this theory in the context of several conflict resolution conferences (cf. Appendix B on ‘conferencing’ as a practice of restorative justice). Note that the conferences share aspects of “time expansion” featured in the 5 Step Practicum introduced in this presentation (see definitions in the notes attached to Question 4 above).

Case I: Alawi and Sunni Muslims in Turkey, 1993

[Background] An example of a time collapse occurred in 1993 among the Alawis, a significant subgroup of Muslims living in Turkey. In 1993, an Alawi festival in Sivas honoring an important figure in Alawi history ended in disaster when twenty-four people perished in a hotel fire started by a mob of Sunnis (the majority Muslim group in Turkey).

This event revived images of past tragedies important to the Alawis, such as one in the 7th Century when the son of Caliph Ali, along with his family and followers, were killed upon the desert plain of Kerbala. In written accounts of the Sivas event, one can easily see how the instigators and victims of the current disaster were identified with those of former ones.5

Thus, a time collapse occurred, and the mental images of the Alawis’ centuries old past grievances became condensed with their current victimization. In the process, the Alawi identity in Turkey was strengthened and enhanced . . . 4

[Treatment] The diagnosis of a co-existence problem by a “neutral” third party team should explore group members’ shared mental images of past history and dissect the mechanism of time collapse in a given situation.
It should also examine the nature and meanings of the “rituals” that exist in the relationship between the antagonist groups. These rituals may range from assigning major importance to seemingly minor differences between the two groups, to attempts at “purifying” one group’s identity from any contamination with the neighbor’s identity. [Cf. Girard on mimetic rivalry]

Rituals between large groups are usually in the service of enhancing various elements of large-group identity and tend to be governed by two general principles:

- Opposing groups need to maintain their identities as distinct from each other (principle of “non-sameness”);
- Opposing groups need to maintain an unambiguous psychological border between them.

Emphasizing differences, even minor ones, between two groups in conflict can be seen as shoring up the border between the identities of the two groups. This helps lessen each group’s anxiety, since, with the border in place, a clear distinction between the two groups is maintained, diminishing the fear that one group’s identity will become diluted or lost in the other’s. Vamik Volkan, quoted by Coexist International Magazine at: www.coexistmag.com/2001/p103-08d.html, accessed by the author on 8/2/10; emphasis and bracketed references mine.

Case II: Greeks and Turks in Cyprus, 1988-90

[Background] The Republic of Cyprus was established in 1960 with an unworkable constitution that allowed both Greeks and Turks to share power. Within three years, Cypriot Greeks drove Cypriot Turks from the 35% of the island that they had inhabited into enclaves occupying only 3% of it. The Cypriot Turks remained in these enclaves from 1963 until 1974. The period of 1963-1968 was especially traumatic for them. In 1974, the Turkish Army came to Cyprus from the mainland and divided the island into northern Turkish and southern Greek sections. To this day, no political settlement has yet been reached.
Eucharistic Non-Targeting

'Sacramental' Workshops

Applications

1. Brainstorm instances where this 5 Step practicum could be applied—in whole or in part—from inter-personal to intergroup conflicts (e.g. Volkan in note).

2. Consider conflict resolution, violence prevention, victim-offender, and mediation programs for which this practicum could be adapted—in whole or in part.

3. What would a similar or entirely different practicum look like based on other religions/humanisms?

[Note cont’d] Although the intent of these 1988-90 dialogues was to determine what could be done now and in the future, exchanges dwelled on incidents of the past. The participants of Turkish descent continually referred to the 1963 events, which drove so many Turkish Cypriots from their homes. In turn, those of Greek origin repeatedly rehashed accounts of the Turkish military’s intervention in Cyprus in 1974. In such exchanges, there is little realistic integration of what “they” did to us, what “we” did to them, what “we” did for them, etc. If left unchecked, both sides will continue to list their chosen traumas and glories, back and forth, in an endless and victorless competition. [Cf. Girard on mimetic rivalry]

However frustrating such exchanges may be, they are necessary to the process itself, because they serve to strengthen participants’ hold on their ethnic identities. If participants do not feel secure in their ethnic identities, they will have great difficulty negotiating more realistically with the “other” when the time comes.

[Treatment]

During listings of grievances, the task of the facilitating team is to absorb the outpouring of the parties’ emotions through active listening, to avoid taking sides, and thus to become a model of empathic listening. When eventually the opposing groups begin to
Eucharistic Non-Targeting

‘Sacramental’ Workshops

Applications

1. Brainstorm instances where this 5 Step practicum could be applied—in whole or in part—from inter-personal to intergroup conflicts (e.g. Volkan in note).

2. Consider conflict resolution, violence prevention, victim-offender, and mediation programs for which this practicum could be adapted—in whole or in part.

3. What would a similar or entirely different practicum look like based on other religions/humanisms?

4. How would similar or different practices expose and treat the sacred lies*/sacred violence involved? *def. above

[Note cont’d]

. . . “hear” each other, more realistic discussions can ensue. Mutual recognition of one another’s suffering creates a favorable atmosphere for progress in negotiation because underneath there is a mutual verification of each other’s group identity. Thus the listing of chosen traumas early in the dialogues serves to fortify participants’ ethnic identities.

2. EVALUATION

Eucharistic ‘Non-Targeting’ Workshop  |  Monday, August 9, 2010

We appreciate your participation in this workshop! Please complete the following survey and help us maintain the highest standards as we continue to provide these workshops. Thank you!

Please rate the following on a scale from 1 to 5  
1=poor, 2=below average, 3=average, 4=good, 5=excellent

Circle one:
1. Overall satisfaction with the workshop
   1     2
   3     4
   5

2. Overall performance of facilitator/s
   1
   2
   1

3. The most meaningful activity of the day was:
   Circle one:
   a) Introduction of theory
   d) Question 3
   b) Question 1
   c) Question 2
   e) Question 4
   e) Question 5
   f) Application & follow-up discussion

4. Please share a specific highlight or key learning for you.

5. In what ways could we improve the workshop?

6. Would you be interested in participating in related group work, or trainings to lead this work? Please circle one: Yes  No

   Additional Comments (here &/or back of page):

   If you would like to be on our e-mail list, please give us your contact information. thanks for your participation!
1. **Presenter:** Theophus “Thee” Smith is a native Atlantan, an associate professor in the Religion Department of Emory University, author of *Conjuring Culture: Biblical Formations of Black America* (Oxford, 1994), and coeditor of *Curing Violence* (Polebridge, 1994). Since the 1990s Thee Smith has facilitated forums and workshops on diversity and reconciliation issues at Emory and throughout the nation. Since 2003 he has served as a co-founding director of Southern Truth and Reconciliation—STAR ([www.southerntruth.org](http://www.southerntruth.org)), a regional nonprofit that consults with local communities seeking truth-and-reconciliation approaches to U.S. racial violence. Raised Baptist in the (U.S.) Black Church tradition, Thee is also a priest associate at the Cathedral of St. Philip in the Episcopal diocese of Atlanta. For additional information see: faculty profile at [ww.emory.edu/COLLEGE/RELIGION/faculty/smith.html](http://ww.emory.edu/COLLEGE/RELIGION/faculty/smith.html) and sermon archive at [www.stphilipscathedral.org/Sermons/default.asp](http://www.stphilipscathedral.org/Sermons/default.asp)

Conclusion: Our Collective Wisdom Traditions

“If we take the world's religions at their best, we find the distilled wisdom of the human race.” —Huston Smith

“We are constantly being astonished these days at the amazing discoveries in the field of violence. But I maintain that far more undreamt of and seemingly impossible discoveries will be made in the field of nonviolence.” —Gandhi

1. Huston Smith, *The Religions of Mankind* [chk]
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**1. Covert in image A:** (A1) Temple symbol (?) for Greco-Roman religions as an ancient type of world religion (?) followed by (A2) the cruciform Greek letters *chi* and *rho* for *Christos* or Christ. Note too the other contemporary world religions with the addition of Taoism: (A3) Buddhist prayer wheel; (A4) Hinduism; (A5) yin-yang of the Tao; (A6) Jewish ‘Star of David;’ and (A7) Muslim ‘Star and Crescent.’

**2. Covert in image B:** the center-most upper left quadrant features an empty ring or vacant circle symbolic of no-religious traditions (cf. ‘null set’). **Missing in image B:** African Traditional Religions (ATR), e.g., the center lower image added to image B in slide one above—*Gye Nyame,* “Except for God,” the Adinkra symbol of West Africa (Ghana etc.).


“RTJ has the potential to resolve many kinds of conflict and reduce inequities in the legal system. Compared to the traditional legal model of justice, courts, judges, lawyers and prisons, restorative justice and therapeutic jurisprudence are quite similar. The difference between the two is mostly conceptual. As a frame within which to criticize and modify legal justice, therapeutic jurisprudence offers a strikingly different model, the mode of therapy as it is used in medical and psychological treatment. Although close inspection reveals that the therapeutic model is quite diverse, and therefore somewhat ambiguous, it does offer a framework to contrast with the legal model. Although restorative justice is the larger movement of the two, it suffers from the lack of such a model. Without a model, restorative justice offers piecemeal changes to correct the present legal system, one step again. Perhaps a welding together of the two models into one, RTJ, would make the movement more effective.” Thomas J. Scheff, "Community Conferences: Shame and Anger in Therapeutic Jurisprudence," Revista Jurídica de la Universidad de Puerto Rico (University of Puerto Rico [U.P.R.], Rio Piedras Campus, School of Law) 59:1 (1990; 1-23):1-2.
A key feature in this connection is what Scheff calls "reintegrative shaming," borrowing the expression from John Braithwaite in his book on *Crime, Shame and Reintegration*. For reintegration of an offender to occur following conviction and sentencing, Braithwaite determines, the process must achieve the following balance: enough shaming for the seriousness of the offense to be made clear, but not so much that the level of humiliation plunges the offender into hopelessness, bitterness and spitefulness towards rejoining the community. Cf. John Braithwaite, *Crime, Shame and Reintegration* (1989). Cf. John Braithwaite and Stephen Mugford, “Conditions of Successful Reintegration Ceremonies: Dealing with Juvenile Offenders,” *The British Journal of Criminology* 34:2 (Spring 1994):139-171.

The offender must be ashamed of what he did, and this shame must be visible to the [victim/community]. It is this shame—along with other emotions, such as grief—that allows a preliminary bond to be formed between offender and victim, because the offender's visible expression of emotion allows the victim to see the offender as a human being. Scheff, "Community Conferences," p. 11.
RTJ Restorative & Therapeutic Justice: *Unified Theory in Ethics & Psychology, Law & Religion*

- (1) repairing the social fabric torn by crimes and systemic injustices, and (2) reinstating or recovering the shared humanity of all the parties involved, thus (3) addressing not only the victims and the perpetrators of any given crime or conflict but also (4) the community-at-large as the most adequate site for RTJ processes and issues.

A community skilled in restorative and therapeutic justice (RTJ), Scheff suggests, is able effectively to manage victim-offender shame and the moral indignation of victim parties and of the observing community.

The crucial point about moral indignation is that when it is repetitive and out of control, it is a defensive movement. It involves two steps: denial of one's own shame, followed by projection of blame onto the offender (I am not dishonorable in any way, whereas the offender is entirely dishonorable). For the participants to identify with the offender, they must see themselves as alike rather than unalike (there but for the grace of God go I). Thus, uncontrolled, repetitive moral indignation is the most important impediment to symbolic reparation and reintegration. On the other hand, to the extent that it is rechanneled, moral indignation can be instrumental in triggering the core sequence of reparation. (Scheff, "Community Conferences," p.15)

To admit the co-humanity that Scheff invokes above is not to exonerate offenders’ crimes or misdeeds, but rather to mediate the process by which they may be induced to offer "symbolic reparation.” In Scheff’s terms such reparation involves dialogical expressions of respect and courtesy, regret or remorse, apology and forgiveness. In this regard it often precedes and enables offenders to agree to material reparation. Thus acknowledging offenders’ co-humanity can establish an ethic of reciprocity; a moral basis for acknowledging and symbolically restoring the violated humanity of their victims. Cf. T.J. Scheff, *Catharsis in Healing, Ritual, and Drama*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1979; and Thomas J. Scheff and Suzanne M. Retzinger, *Emotions and Violence: Shame and Rage in Destructive Conflicts*. Lexington, Mass.: Lexington Books, 1991.
Appendix C
Axial Age Axiom I\(^1\)

“What is required is an internal regeneration of the individual. The spiritual \textit{habitus} of man himself will have to change. . . A new culture can only grow up in the soil of a purified humanity . . . [of a] \textit{katharsis} . . . which liberates from the violent passions of life and leads the soul to peace.

“For the spiritual clarification which our time needs, a new \textit{askesis} will be necessary.”

—Johan Huizinga, \textit{In the Shadow of Tomorrow} (1936)\(^2\)

1. Cf. Karl Jaspers’ \textit{Hypothesis of an "Axial Age"} introduced above in my ‘Axial Age’ Proposal, slide 23, where I explain:

The era 600-400 BCE was called “axial” by German philosopher Karl Jaspers. Radiating out from 600-400 BCE and extending more gradually from 800 to 200 BCE, key religious traditions in multiple parts of the world developed more enlightened, benign, and pro-human versions of their originating beliefs and traditions. For more detailed description see above.

2. Johan Huizinga, \textit{In the Shadow of Tomorrow} (New York: W.W. Norton & Co., 1936), pp. 231,233-35. Huizinga (1872-1945), also the author of \textit{Homo Ludens} and \textit{The Waning of the Middle Ages}, was a Dutch historian and philologist with training in Indian literature.

In his brief three-sentence preface to \textit{Shadow}, Huizinga was concerned that his negations of conventional forms of social and historical transformation—the “organs of society” such as “nations, churches, schools or parties” (p. 231) would "lead many to think of me as a pessimist. I have but this to answer: I am an optimist."

In this connection consider Gandhian activism as a 20\textsuperscript{th} century asceticism of nonviolence in re: \textit{Askesis} (Greek): a renunciatory discipline, exercise, practice.
In this schema *mesoterica* are required to mediate effectively the *terra incognita* (unknown terrain) between *esoterica* and most people’s ordinary experiences of *exoterica*. However consider this theorist’s caveat:

The emancipatory *intent* of a subjective practice cannot guarantee that its own activity in the service of liberation will be free from domination. This practice cannot escape its embeddedness in the historical context of domination. There is no external vantage-point from which a subjective practice could claim an immunity to the influences of the oppressive society against which it itself is directed.

A practice of subjectivity cannot assume that the effects of institutionalized imbalances in economic and social power, education and general welfare will disappear at its “borders.” Indeed the positing of such an ideal for a practice of subjectivity reveals a basic misconception about the dynamics of oppression in today’s society. A practice of subjectivity thus faces a permanent risk of being “contaminated” with the toxins of domination. The danger of the degeneration of such a practice is a permanent danger, intrinsic to the very nature of oppression in a mass society.

The recognition of this fact must go hand in hand with a commitment to counter the continuing effects as well as the causes of this degeneration. An emancipatory subjective practice would thus have to struggle continuously against its own reification, against the incremental sedimentation of liberatory processes into fossilized procedures, against the distortions of domination which ingress into all attempts at liberation. It could only do so if its own praxis nourished and encouraged in individuals a critical intelligence and a sense of self-worth *in the context of a developing solidarity* [with others]. Erica Sherover Marcuse, *Emancipation and Consciousness* (Blackwell, 1986) p. 141.
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